UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.   | FILING DATE                        | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.  | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-------------------|------------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|------------------|
| 10/581,536        | 04/10/2007                         | Takao Nishi          | BSPAP015             | 6167             |
|                   | 7590 04/28/200<br>NILLA & GENCAREI |                      | EXAM                 | INER             |
| 710 LAKEWAY DRIVE |                                    |                      | WALTERS, JOHN DANIEL |                  |
|                   | SUITE 200<br>SUNNYVALE, CA 94085   |                      | ART UNIT             | PAPER NUMBER     |
|                   |                                    |                      | 3618                 |                  |
|                   |                                    |                      |                      |                  |
|                   |                                    |                      | MAIL DATE            | DELIVERY MODE    |
|                   |                                    |                      | 04/28/2009           | PAPER            |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

|  | Application No.   | Applicant(s)  |        |  |  |  |
|--|---|---|--------|--|--|--|
| Office Action Symmony  | 10/581,536  | NISHI, TAKAO  |        |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary  | Examiner  | Art Unit  |        |  |  |  |
|  | JOHN D. WALTERS   | 3618  |        |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app<br>Period for Reply   | ears on the cover sheet with the c  | orrespondence ad  | ldress |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim 11 apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from 12 cause the application to become ABANDONEI | <b>J.</b> hely filed the mailing date of this co O (35 U.S.C. § 133). |        |  |  |  |
| Status   |   |   |        |  |  |  |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on   |   |   |        |  |  |  |
|  | action is non-final.  |   |        |  |  |  |
| <i>,</i> —   |   |   |        |  |  |  |
|  | closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.   |   |        |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims  |   |   |        |  |  |  |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are pending in the application.  |   |   |        |  |  |  |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw  | un from consideration   |   |        |  |  |  |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  | William Consideration.  |   |        |  |  |  |
| ·  |   |   |        |  |  |  |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are rejected. 7)□ Claim(s) is/are objected to.   |   |   |        |  |  |  |
|  | alastian raquirament  |   |        |  |  |  |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or  | election requirement.   |   |        |  |  |  |
| Application Papers   |   |   |        |  |  |  |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner   | <b>.</b> .  |   |        |  |  |  |
| 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>01 June 2006</u> is/are: a)⊡ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.  |   |   |        |  |  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  |   |   |        |  |  |  |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti  | Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  |   |        |  |  |  |
| 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa   | 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  |   |        |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119   |   |   |        |  |  |  |
| 12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:   |   |   |        |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>☐ Certified copies of the priority documents</li> </ol>   | s have been received.   |   |        |  |  |  |
| <ol><li>Certified copies of the priority documents</li></ol>   | s have been received in Applicati   | on No   |        |  |  |  |
| <ol><li>Copies of the certified copies of the prior</li></ol>  | ity documents have been receive   | ed in this National   | Stage  |  |  |  |
| application from the International Bureau  | (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).   |   |        |  |  |  |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.   |   |   |        |  |  |  |
|  |   |   |        |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)  |   |   |        |  |  |  |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  | 4) Interview Summary  | (PTO-413)   |        |  |  |  |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  | Paper No(s)/Mail Da   | nte   |        |  |  |  |
| Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)     Paper No(s)/Mail Date  | 5)  | atent Application   |        |  |  |  |
| . apol Hologitian Dato   | 5/ <u> </u>   |   |        |  |  |  |

### **DETAILED ACTION**

Claims 1 - 12 have been examined.

### Information Disclosure Statement

The listing of references in the specification is not a proper information disclosure statement. 37 CFR 1.98(b) requires a list of all patents, publications, or other information submitted for consideration by the Office, and MPEP § 609.04(a) states, "the list may not be incorporated into the specification but must be submitted in a separate paper." Therefore, unless the references have been cited by the examiner on form PTO-892, they have not been considered.

## **Drawings**

The drawings are objected to because it appears that reference number 21 is directed towards a centerline of a shaft and not to a shaft itself. The specification clearly states that reference number 21 is descriptive of the shaft and not of the centerline/axis. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered

and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1 - 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 recites the limitation "which is farther from the side frames of the seat plate." It is unclear which components are farther than one another. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim 9 recites the limitation "with the occupant's backside including lower limbs open." It is unclear how an occupant's backside has open lower limbs. Appropriate correction is required.

Application/Control Number: 10/581,536 Page 4

Art Unit: 3618

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by lura et al.

(7,003,820). Iura discloses a supportive device for handicapped people comprising:

- a wheelchair (Fig. 2, item 1);
- A planar u-shaped frame consisting of right and left side frames (Fig. 1, item 6);
- a seat supported by said frame (Fig. 2, item 43);
- a space of said side frames being open to the rear to allow for an edge of a bed to be inserted (Figs. 14 and 15);
- said seat being split from the center into right and left parts (Fig. 1, item
   32);
- stays supporting said right and left parts of said seat (Figs. 1 and 2, item
   31);
- said right and left parts of said seat being foldable towards the sides of an occupant and toward an upper rear direction with the surface of said seat begin turned upward (Figs. 14 and 15).

## Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1 - 8 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

Claims 10 - 12 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to JOHN D. WALTERS whose telephone number is (571)272-8269. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 8am - 5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christopher Ellis can be reached on (571) 272-6914. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/581,536 Page 6

Art Unit: 3618

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

John D. Walters Examiner Art Unit 3618

/J. D. W./ Examiner, Art Unit 3618

/Christopher P Ellis/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3618